

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

BRIDGING LEGAL PRINCIPLES AND PSYCHOLOGICAL REALITIES: ANALYSING THE BATTERED WOMAN SYNDROME IN INDIAN JURISPRUDENCE

AUTHORED BY - AMRUTA VENKATACHALAM

INTRODUCTION

In the intricate intersection between law and psychology lies a profound understanding of human behavior within legal contexts. One such compelling area of study is the Battered Woman Syndrome (BWS), a psychological framework that delves into the complexities of relationships which are abusive, and the psychological trauma experienced by victims. This research essay embarks on a comprehensive exploration of BWS within the Indian legal landscape, aiming to harmonize legal precepts with psychological realities.

BWS, conceptualized by Dr. Lenore Walker, elucidates why some abused women resort to extreme measures, such as killing their abusive partners, instead of leaving them. Central to this syndrome is the notion of repeated victimization within a cycle of violence, leading to a state of learned helplessness and psychological trauma. Through an in-depth analysis of BWS, this paper seeks to unravel the intricate dynamics of abusive relationships, the psychological toll on victims, and the legal implications of their actions.

The structure of this research essay is twofold. Firstly, it delves into the psychological underpinnings of BWS, exploring its theoretical framework, manifestations of trauma, and its impact on the victim's sense of self. Secondly, it navigates through the legal terrain, dissecting the existing defenses available to battered women within the Indian legal system, such as self-defense, diminished responsibility, and sustained provocation. Through this multidimensional approach, this paper aims to offer a nuanced understanding of BWS, bridging the gap between psychological theory and legal practice.

Battered Woman Syndrome: Psychology Theory as a Complex Trauma

Dr. Lenore Walker put forth the concept of the 'Battered Woman Syndrome' as a psychological framework aimed at explaining why women subjected to abuse may resort to extreme measures such as killing their abusive partners rather than simply leaving them. The syndrome revolves around the idea of repeated victimization within a cycle of abuse, resulting in symptoms of "learned helplessness" experienced by the victim due to the perpetrator's actions. This violence is characterized by systematic and recurring infliction of psychological trauma accompanied by extreme physical injuries to induce helplessness and undermine the victim's sense of self. Experts agree that severe psychological trauma affects various aspects of an individual's identity, including their coping mechanisms, and typically involves threats to life or bodily integrity.

Walker developed this theory based on her research into the cycle of abuse, which is known as the 'Walker Cycle Theory'. This theory outlines three distinct phases commonly observed in abusive relationships. The first phase is the 'tension building phase', marked by escalating verbal conflicts between the partners. This tension eventually escalates into an 'acute battering incident', during which the abuser is consumed by uncontrollable anger and rage (Walker, 2009). Subsequently, there is a 'loving contrition' phase, in which the abuser expresses remorse and promises to change, often serving as reinforcement for the victim to sustain the relationship. However, this cycle of violence continues, leading back to the tension-building phase.

This cyclical pattern often induces a state of 'learned helplessness' in battered women, as described by psychologist Martin Seligman, where they feel powerless to change their situation and thus do not leave their abusers. Despite the possibility of escape, battered women may perceive themselves as trapped due to socio-economic factors and a belief that legal remedies will fail them. This sense of hopelessness may sometimes lead them to consider killing their abuser as a final solution to break free from the cycle of violence. Not all battered women resort to killing their abusers. However, the decision to do so often hinges on the severity and frequency of violence endured, rather than any inherent trait of the woman herself. Factors such as desperation, learned helplessness and a desire for self-preservation can compel a battered woman to take such drastic measures, depending on the specific circumstances of her situation (Walker 2009).

Complex trauma refers to a profoundly damaging ordeal characterized by extended or recurring traumatic incidents, leading to notable disturbances in both personal and social connections. Within the framework of battered woman syndrome, it's crucial to emphasize that the trauma inflicted upon the victim's identity encompasses diverse and intricate conditions that vary in their impact and importance to the individual. Consistent with the diagnostic criteria for PTSD, battered woman syndrome displays a spectrum of recognized disruptions, including dissociative responses trauma experienced by victims disrupts self-continuity and cohesion, leading to dissociative states and a fractured sense of identity (Zepinic, 2021).

COURTROOM AND LAW IN BATTERED WOMEN SYNDROME:

The prominence of battered women syndrome primarily arises within courtroom settings, particularly in cases where a battered woman faces charges for the murder or attempted murder of her abuser. These criminal acts typically occur either in response to intolerable abuse or as a last resort to escape imminent harm. Despite being rooted in trauma, battered women syndrome is frequently invoked as a legal defense, arguing that the actions were justified given the circumstances. Essentially, for the battered woman, the choice often boils down to either her survival or succumbing to further abuse. This defense hinges on the notion of volition, suggesting that she opted for the lesser of two evils.

However, in many court proceedings, there is often insufficient evidence to establish whether the accused woman was indeed a victim of domestic violence. In India, existing legal defenses are deemed inadequate in addressing cases involving battered women syndrome, underscoring the necessity to explore more effective legal strategies for battered women compelled to resort to extreme measures to protect themselves from their abusers

DEFENCE 1: SELF DEFENCE

A battered woman may engage in a violent act that appears justifiable, even if it doesn't initially seem like a typical case of self-defense. This defense often requires a court to assess the accused's mental state, particularly regarding stress disorders resulting from ongoing domestic violence, through a medico-legal report provided by a mental health expert. Even though there are convincing situations where a woman subjected to domestic violence might feel forced to defend herself against her abuser, it is notable that no battered woman has effectively claimed self-defense in courts in India or the UK. For a successful self-defense

argument, four essential conditions must be fulfilled: a genuine belief in an imminent threat of bodily harm, the utilization of reasonable force in reaction to the danger, a non-aggressor status, and the absence of a safe opportunity to withdraw. This defense is usually employed in scenarios where a defendant encounters immediate threats and reacts with physical force against the aggressor.

However, applying self-defense becomes challenging in cases involving battered women killing their partners, as their actions often deviate from the traditional concept of self-defense. For example, a battered woman may harm her abuser after the attack has ended or when no immediate threat is apparent. Questions arise about the reasonableness of the force used, especially if the woman could have left the relationship safely.

Battered women may experience brief periods of peace amidst cycles of abuse, leading them to strike out during these moments as their only chance to defend themselves against a stronger aggressor.

The Courts, exemplified by the Supreme Court of Washington in *State v. Wanrow*, have broadened the objective test to encompass the contextual factors surrounding the defendant, enabling the jury to understand and relate to the fear experienced by a reasonable person in the defendant's situation. Furthermore, the cycle theory, as elucidated by Walker in 2009, provides insight into the justification of employing reasonable force against the aggressor. Moreover, battered women may develop a condition termed "learned helplessness," akin to depression, which impedes them from exiting abusive relationships. Acknowledging this, courts in the United States and Canada acknowledge Battered Woman Syndrome (BWS) as a foundation for self-defense, provided it is supported by expert testimony.

DEFENSE 2: DIMINISHED RESPONSIBILITY

English law embraces the theory of diminished responsibility under Section 2 of The Homicide Act of 1957. This provision stipulates that individuals with a significant mental impairment, which affects their responsibility for their actions, cannot be found guilty of murder. An "abnormality of mind" is defined as a mental state significantly different from that of reasonable individuals, typically resulting from arrested or retarded development, disease, or injury (Young, *Femininity As Marginalia*, 1997).

Diminished responsibility functions as a mitigating factor in murder cases, leading to a charge of manslaughter instead of complete exoneration. The groundbreaking trial of Kiranjit Ahluwalia marked the initial application of this defense, underscoring the significance of addressing mental health concerns within the legal system. However, establishing diminished responsibility requires demonstrating a mental state significantly different from that of a reasonable person, unlike provocation and self-defense where the standard is the behavior of a reasonable individual (*R. v. Kiranjit Ahluwalia* 1993). Therefore, while tempting, applying diminished responsibility to cases of battered women who kill their abusers is fraught with challenges (Medarametla 2022).

In India, the defense of insanity, rooted in the archaic M'Naghten rules, is the only equivalent option. However, the rigidity of these rules has been criticized for failing to align with modern psychiatric understanding, particularly in cases where the accused acts under an irresistible impulse due to a mental defect or deficiency. Using the insanity defense for battered women presents significant issues, as it implies their inability to comprehend the nature of their actions, contrary to their reality of acting out of self-preservation. Moreover, successful pleas may lead to stigmatization and institutionalization, overlooking the broader issue of domestic violence. This approach underscores the State's tendency to penalize female perpetrators of domestic violence rather than addressing the root problem. By focusing on the woman's mental state, attention is diverted from the abusive behavior of the perpetrator (Medarametla 2022).

DEFENCE 3: SUSTAINED PROVOCATION

This defense is commonly utilized by battered women facing murder charges, serving as a partial defense that reduces the charge to culpable homicide not amounting to murder in India. For a successful plea of provocation, the defendant must lose control soon after the provocation by the batterer. This lapse of time termed the 'cooling-off period,' is the period during which a reasonable person would refrain from retaliating. In the case of *Ibrams*, the court rejected the defense of provocation as the defendant had ample cooling off period, and planned the attack, suggesting an intent to kill (*R. v. Ibrams*). However, this fails to fully understand the plight of battered women, as many do not intend to kill but rather seek to prevent further harm.

Under Indian law, if the offender loses self-control due to grave and sudden provocation, the offense is classified as 'culpable homicide not amounting to murder'. The Supreme Court in *K.M. Nanavati v. State of Maharashtra* outlined guidelines for determining 'grave and sudden'

provocation, considering societal norms, words and gestures, the mental state of the accused, and the temporal aspect of the offense (*K.M. Nanavati v. State of Maharashtra*).

While the exceptions outlined in the Indian Penal Code under Section 300 may initially appear limited in scope, courts have been expanding upon these exceptions by applying the principle of *ejusdem generis* to include additional circumstances. One such instance is the inclusion of 'sustained provocation' within Exception 1 to Section 300 of the Indian Penal Code. However, courts often face challenges in interpreting cases where the killing is a to fear of future harm, and not just the ongoing violence. The term 'battered women syndrome' may perpetuate gender stereotypes, but it highlights the traumatic experiences of women who kill their abusers out of fear, unlike provocation which necessitates evidence of loss of self-control and anger.

Battered Woman Syndrome in India's Context: Nallathangal Syndrome

Legal acknowledgment of abused women and their responses is still in its infancy in India. The term "Nallathangal syndrome," coined by the Madras High Court, marks the initial effort to tackle this issue. This syndrome, inspired by the Nallathangal ballad, characterizes scenarios where women, tormented by domestic violence, resort to drastic actions like suicide and infanticide. Courts, starting with the Madras High Court, have begun considering the contexts of such cases, as evidenced in the Manju Lakra case, where the murder accusation against her was dismissed due to sustained provocation. The courts have acknowledged that in similar circumstances, abused women may retaliate against their assailants rather than ending their own lives. For instance, in the Suyambukkani case, where the accused leaped into a well with her children to flee her abusive spouse, the court took into account the persistent provocation she faced (*Suyambukkani v. State of T.N.*, 1989). Similarly, in the Amutha case, where Amutha survived but her daughters did not, anticipatory bail was granted, considering the circumstances and the instinctive responses of a woman in such situations (*Amutha v. State of Maharashtra*, 1983).

The Manju Lakra case brings to light an intriguing aspect: the comparison between dowry deaths and instances where abused women retaliate against their tormentors. The court pondered the possibility of a woman resorting to aggression against her abuser instead of self-harm. The conclusion drawn suggests that if the legal system recognizes the possibility of a battered wife resorting to suicide under certain circumstances, it should also acknowledge the likelihood of her retaliating against her abuser, particularly if she intends to break free from the

cycle of violence rather than inflict harm (Manju Lakra v. State of Assam, 2013).

Nevertheless, despite these progressions, the Manju Lakra case exhibits shortcomings. Initially, the introduction of the "Nallathangal syndrome" oversimplifies the complexities of domestic abuse, as it assumes that a battered woman would resort to killing herself and her children to flee suffering, placing no accountability on the abusive partner, in contrast to the approach taken in the Manju Lakra case. Additionally, the utilization of sustained provocation in the Manju Lakra case has faced criticism for exceeding the limits of judicial authority. This interpretation excludes battered women who react after enduring prolonged abuse and contradicts established legal principles, such as those outlined in the K.M. Nanavati case. Furthermore, it neglects to consider the psychological phenomenon of "learned helplessness," which may lead a woman to harm her abuser even outside of combat situations (Medarametla 2022). In essence, while progress is being made in legally acknowledging the situations of battered women, there are still limitations in understanding and addressing the complexities of these cases, particularly concerning the dynamics of abuse and the psychological states of the victims.

CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, the current legal framework in India presents limitations for battered women seeking justice, primarily relying on the defense of provocation. This defense overlooks the complexities of the experiences and behaviors of battered women, failing to adequately address the deliberate harm inflicted by abusers leading to psychiatric trauma. Despite some recognition of liability for intentional harm, Indian jurisprudence on battered women syndrome (BWS) remains underdeveloped.

To address these shortcomings, legislative reforms are necessary, particularly in revising defenses like provocation and self-defense to incorporate BWS perspectives. A feminist approach should guide these reforms, ensuring they reflect the realities of women's experiences of violence and challenge male-centric biases. Judicial practices must also evolve to prioritize procedural fairness and adopt feminist perspectives in judgments, thereby empowering battered women and challenging traditional stereotypes.

By taking these steps, India can move towards a legal system that provides better protection for battered women, addresses systemic inequalities, and amplifies the voices of marginalized

women. It's crucial to draw from international progress on BWS and engage in comprehensive discussions to bring about meaningful change in the legal treatment of battered women who retaliate in India.

REFERENCE LIST:

1. Alison Young, *Feminity As Marginalia: Two Cases of Conjugal Homicide*, in *Criminal Legal Practices*(P. Rush et al eds., Oxford University Press, 1997).
2. *Amutha v. State of Maharashtra*, (1983) 3 SCC 50: AIR 1983 SC 629.
3. *K.M. Nanavati v. State of Maharashtra*, AIR 1962 SC 605: 1962 Supp (1) SCR 567.
4. *Manju Lakra v. State of Assam*, 2013 SCC OnLine Gau 207: (2013) 4 GLT 333.
5. M’Naghten rules were laid down in M’Naghten case: (1843-1860) All ER Rep 229: 8 ER 718.
6. Medarametla, Keerthana (2022) "Battered Women: The Gendered Notion of Defences Available," *Socio-Legal*
7. *R. v. Abrams*, (1982) 74 Cr App R 154.
8. *R. v. Kiranjit Ahluwalia*, (1993) 96 Cr App R 133.
9. *State v. Wanrow*, 88 Wash 2d 221: 559 P 2d 548 (1977).
10. *Suyambukkani v. State of T.N.*, 1989 LW (Cri) 86
11. Walker, L.E.A. (2009). *The battered woman syndrome*. 3rd ed. New York (N.Y.): Springer.
12. Zepinic, V. (2021) *Battered women syndrome a headache for medicine and law*, SCIRP. Available at: <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=109976> (Accessed: 01 April 2024).